

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,984	03/02/2004	Dong-young Moon	Q80223	9663	
23373 7590 04/17/2007 EXAMINER					
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	04/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/789,984	MOON, DONG-YOUNG	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andy S. Rao	2621	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			•
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/22/ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 8-13 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  con Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the second and the second are subjected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the second and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected to by the Examine and the second are subjected as a subject as a subjec	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/789,984

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 as filed 1/22/07 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Further, it is noted that although the listing of claims 1-7 indicates that "...by obtaining..." is a limitation which was added by this amendment (i.e. the limitation is underlined), this limitation is in fact present from the original incarnation of claims 1-7 as presented in the preliminary amendment of 3/22/04. Accordingly, the Examiner doesn't consider claims 1-7 to amended, and if a further amendment was contemplated on the Applicant's part, such an amendment doesn't appear to present with the applicant's submission of 1/22/07.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Civanlar et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Civanlar") in view of Naimpally et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Naimpally").

Civanlar discloses a multiplexing video decoding method for receiving bit streams (Civanlar: column 12, lines 35-40), each of said bit streams comprising a plurality of slices and received in a plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 6, lines 15-30), and decoding the bit stream

of each of the plurality of channels in a multiplexed mode (Civanlar: column 10, lines 1-10), the multiplexing video decoding method comprising the steps of: decoding video signals, wherein the decoding includes decoding a predetermined unit of a bit stream of one channel from the plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 10, lines 10-20); sequentially switching to decode a predetermined unit of a bit stream for each of the other plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 10, lines 39-51); and decoding in a multiplexed mode bit streams of the plurality of a channels by repeating the above steps for a next slice in each of the plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 11, lines 15-60), as in claim 1. However, Civanlar fails to disclose that the syntax processor obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of the plurality of channels. Naimpally discloses a transport demultiplexor (Naimpally: figure 1A) with a syntax processor that obtains a program state (Naimpally: column Naimpally: column 9, lines 15-45) corresponding to a program counter value (Naimpally: column 5, lines 20-32) associated with a respective one of a plurality of channels (Naimpally: column 10, lines 20-54) in order to maintain stream continuity (Naimpally: column 3, liens 45-67; column 4, lines 40-60). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Naimpally syntax processor obtaining a program state corresponding to a program counter value as specified into the Civanlar method in order maintain continuity in the streams of the primary reference's multiplexing method. The Civanlar method, now incorporating the Naimpally step of obtaining a program state, has all of the features of claim 1.

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Naimpally step of obtaining a program state, that the predetermined unit is a slice of a bitstream (Civanlar: column 10, lines 15-20), as in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,984

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 3, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Naimpally step of obtaining a program state, discloses incrementing an index value for a register corresponding to one of the plurality of channels to obtain information for a current channel when a decoding switching function is called (Civanlar: column 9, lines 40-55), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 4, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Naimpally step of obtaining a program state, discloses that video parameters and a program status are to decoded as specified (Civanlar: column 9, lines 30-35), as in the claims.

Regarding claim 5, the Civanlar method, now incorporating the Naimpally step of obtaining a program state, discloses switching a task inside a waiting loop (Civanlar: column 11, lines 40-45), as in the claim.

Civanlar discloses a task switching method for switching channels to be decoded in signals of a plurality of channels in a multiplexing video decoding method (Civanlar: column 6, lines 15-30), the task switching method (Civanlar: figure 5), comprising the steps of: incrementing an index register value for a register corresponding to one of the plurality of channels to obtain information for a current channel when a task switching function is called (Civanlar: column 9, lines 40-56); finishing task switching if video decoding of the current channel corresponding to the index value is enabled (Civanlar: column 11, lines 40-45), and otherwise, incrementing the index register value and then switching to a next task (Civanlar: column 11, lines 25-35), as in claim 6. However, Civanlar fails to disclose that the finishing task obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of the plurality of channels. Naimpally discloses a transport demultiplexor (Naimpally: figure 1A) with a syntax processor that obtains a program state (Naimpally: column Naimpally:

Application/Control Number: 10/789,984

Art Unit: 2621

column 9, lines 15-45) corresponding to a program counter value (Naimpally: column 5, lines 20-32) associated with a respective one of a plurality of channels (Naimpally: column 10, lines 20-54) in order to maintain stream continuity (Naimpally: column 3, lines 45-67; column 4, lines 40-60). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Naimpally syntax processor obtaining a program state corresponding to a program counter value as specified into the Civanlar method in order maintain continuity in the streams of the primary reference's multiplexing method. The Civanlar method, now incorporating the Naimpally step of obtaining a program state, has all of the features of claim 6.

Civanlar discloses a multiplexing video decoding apparatus for receiving bit streams (Civanlar: column 12, lines 35-40), each of said bit streams comprising a plurality of slices and received in a plurality of channels (Civanlar: column 6, lines 15-30), and decoding the bit stream of each of the plurality of channels in a multiplexed mode (Civanlar: column 10, lines 1-10), the multiplexing video decoding method comprising: a plurality of FIFO units for transmitting in a first in first out manner the bit streams of the plurality of channels in parallel (Civanlar: column 10, lines 25-35); a syntax processor for cyclically decoding the bit streams of the plurality of channels output from the plurality of FIFO units at a slice interval (Civanlar: column 10, lines 39-55); a video processor for reproducing the bit stream of a corresponding channel decoded by the syntax processor into video data according to a predetermined video reproduction format (Civanlar: column 10, lines 5-20), as in claim 7. However, Civanlar fails to disclose that the syntax processor obtains a program state corresponding to a program counter value associated with a respective one of the plurality of channels. Naimpally discloses a transport demultiplexor (Naimpally: figure 1A) with a syntax processor that obtains a program state (Naimpally: column

Art Unit: 2621

Naimpally: column 9, lines 15-45) corresponding to a program counter value (Naimpally: column 5, lines 20-32) associated with a respective one of a plurality of channels (Naimpally: column 10, lines 20-54) in order to maintain stream continuity (Naimpally: column 3, lines 45-67; column 4, lines 40-60). Accordingly, given this teaching, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Naimpally syntax processor obtaining a program state corresponding to a program counter value as specified into the Civanlar method in order maintain continuity in the streams of the primary reference's multiplexing method. The Civanlar apparatus, now incorporating the Naimpally syntax processor that obtains a program state, has all of the features of claim 7.

## Allowable Subject Matter

## 4. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Independent claim 8 recites a multiplexing video decoding apparatus reciting the novel feature of "...a processor for selecting a channel to be decoded by slice by cyclically incrementing the index value of the index register and to obtain a program state corresponding to the program counter value that has been pushed into the respective one of the plurality of stacks for the channel. Dependent claims 9-13 are allowed for the reasons concerning independent claim 8. Accordingly, if rejected claims 1-7 are canceled, the application would be placed in a condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2621

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mendenhall discloses programmable byte wise MPEG systems layer parser.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andy S. Rao
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2621

asr April 9, 2007 PHENONE EXAMENSE